

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

am

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/877,027	06/11/2001	Yasuhiko Tsukikawa	57454-138	9823	
75	08/11/2003			•	
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			EXAMINER		
Washington, D	13th Street, N.W. Shington, DC 20005-3096 NG		`NGUYEN,	JYEN, LINH M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2816		
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			gm
	Application No.	pplicant(s)	
e.	09/877,027	TSUKIKAWA, YASUHIKO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Linh M. Nguyen	2816	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIS SET TO EVOIDE 2 MONTU	(S) EDOM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tily within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19.	-		
,	is action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Plantaities of Claims			;
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) <u>2,3,5-13 and 15-17</u> is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1,4 and 14</u> is/are rejected.		•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/oApplication Papers	r election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r		
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11 June 2001 is/are: a)		the Everiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	• • •	` '	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		oved by the Examiner.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	a		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119/	a)-(d) or (f)	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	i priority under 55 5.5.5. § 115(a)*(u) or (i).	
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document:	s have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No	
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applicatio	n).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			
Attachment(s)	. ,	• • •	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 12	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trademark Office	·		

DETAILED ACTION

This is a reply to the Applicant's amendments submitted on 06/19/2003. According to this amendment, claims 1-17 are now presented in this instant application.

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 01/06/2003, which includes the Taiwanese reference Pub. No. 387,065 is in compliance with 37 CFR 1.98. Therefore it has been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Goldenberg et al. (WO 94/15401 of record).

With respect to claim 1, Goldenberg et al. discloses, in figure 1, a delay locked loop circuit comprising (1) a delay circuit [44.(N-1), 44. (N-2), 44.2, 44.1, 40, 50] for delaying a first clock signal [42] and outputting a second clock signal [output of 50], (2) a detector [56] for detecting which of the first and second clocks is advanced in a phase, and (3) a gray code counter [36] using a gray code and being responsive (via RESET) to an output of the detector [56] for selectively generating one of a signal [38] to increase (via RESET) an amount of delay of the delay circuit; in which the output

Art Unit: 2816

of the detector indicates that the first clock is in advance of the second clock in a phase or the second clock is in advance of the first clock in a phase.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldenberg et al. (WO 94/15401 of record), in view of Taniguchi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,225,843 of record).

With respect to claim 4 and 14, Goldenberg et al. discloses, in figure 1, a digitally controlled phase shifter system and a corresponding control method for the system; the digitally controlled phase shifter comprises a delay locked loop circuit including (1) an external clock [42], (2) a delay circuit [44.(N-1), 44. (N-2), 44.2, 44.1, 40, 50] for delaying the first clock signal [42] and outputting a second clock signal [output of 50], (3) a detector [56] for detecting which of the first and second clocks is advanced in a phase, and (4) a gray code counter [36] using a gray code and being responsive (via RESET) to an output of the detector [56] for selectively generating a signal [38] to increase (via RESET) an amount of delay of the delay circuit and a signal to decrease the amount of delay of the delay circuit; in which the output of the detector indicates that the first clock is in advance of the second clock in a phase or the second clock is in advance of the first clock in a phase.

Goldenberg et al.'s teachings lack an input buffer for receiving the external clock and outputting the first internal clock.

Taniguchi et al. discloses, in Fig. 3, a delay locked loop circuit using an input buffer [22] for receiving an external clock [CLK] and generating a first internal clock [N3] (see col. 4, lines 25-28).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the delay locked loop circuit of Goldenberg et al. by additionally configuring an input buffer as taught by Taniguchi et al. for reshaping the external clock signal since such an arrangement of the input buffer for receiving the external clock signal would provide a strong signal, e.g. signal without noise interference, etc., to be inputted to the delay circuits, and thus improve signal synchronization at the output.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 2-3, 5-10,11-13, and 15-17 are allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Prior art of record does not show or fairly suggest a delay locked loop having
- (i) a gray code counter including (a) a gray code register for storing the gray code. (b) a binary code converter for converting the gray code into a binary code, (c) an upward carry/downward carry generator using the binary code stored in the binary code converter, to generate an upward carry signal and a downward carry signal, and (d) a carry multiplexer for generating from the upward carry signal and the downward carry signal a carry signal corresponding to a result obtained by the detector, for updating said gray code in the gray code register, as called for in claims 2, 5, and the corresponding steps, as called for in claim 15; and

(ii) a delay locked loop including a combination of (a) a first input buffer for receiving at least a first external clock and a second external clock complementary in phase to the first external clock, and outputting a first internal clock at the timing of the rising edge of the first external clock when a potential of the first external clock is equal to that of the second external clock, (b) a second input buffer for receiving at least the first and second external clocks, and outputting a second internal clock at the timing of the rising edge of the second external clock when a potential of the first external clock is equal to that of the second external clock, and (c) a second delay circuit for delaying the second internal clock to output a fourth internal clock, as called for in claim 11.

Remarks and conclusion

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4, and 14 have been considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the Applicant's argument on claim 1, at page 12, the Examiner disagrees with the Applicant's statement "Goldenberg fails to teach that "said detector outputs a result of phase comparison between said first and second clocks after each said comparison" and "a gray code counter...., responsive to an output of said detector, for selectively generating one of a signal to increase an amount of delay of said delay circuit and a signal to decrease said amount of delay of said delay circuit", as required by claim 1". As clearly shown in Fig. 1, and page 20, lines 27-31, the detector outputs a pulse based on the relationship on the two inputs of detector 56 and this pulse is supplied to the Gray code counter 36 for resetting the Gray code counter in response to the phase difference based on the detector output pulse. Therefore, claim 1 is still rejected over Goldenberg.

Art Unit: 2816

With respect to the Applicant's argument on claim 4, at page 13, the Examiner does not agree with the statement " "a gray code counter..., responsive to an output of said detector, for selectively generating one of a signal to increase an amount of delay of the delay circuit and a signal to decrease said amount of delay of said delay circuit; wherein said detector outputs a result of phase comparison between said first and second clocks after each said phase comparison.". As discussed earlier, Goldenberg does not teach these features.... Taniguchi, however, does not alleviate the deficiency of Goldenberg. Thus, Goldenberg and Taniguchi, even combined, do not teach every limitation of claim 4". Similarly as stated above, as clearly shown in Fig. 1, and page 20, lines 27-31, the detector outputs a pulse based on the relationship on the two inputs of detector 56 and this pulse is supplied to the Gray code counter 36 for resetting the Gray code counter in response to the phase difference based on the detector output pulse. Since Taniguchi discloses a delay locked loop circuit using an input buffer for receiving an external clock, the combination of Goldenberg and Taniguchi meet all the claim limitations cited in claim 4. Thus, the rejection of claim 4 remains over the combined teachings of Goldenberg and Taniguchi.

With respect to the Applicant's argument on claim 14, at page 14, the Examiner does not agree with the statement "Goldenberg does not adjust delay amount in response to every comparison of clock phases. Thus Goldenberg does not teach "using a gray code, responseive to a result obtained in the step of detecting, to selectively generate one of a signal to increase an amount of delay to be applied in the step of delaying and a signal to decrease said amount of delay to be applied in the step of delaying; wherein said result of detecting is generated after each phase comparison between said first and second clocks, and indicates that said first clock is

Application/Control Number: 09/877,027

Art Unit: 2816

in advance of said second clock in a phase or said second clock is in advance of said first clock" as required by claim 14. Taiguchi, too, fails to teach these features... even combined, do not teach every limitation of claim 14". Similarly as stated previously, as clearly shown in Fig. 1, and page 20, lines 27-31, the detector outputs a pulse based on the relationship on the two inputs of detector 56 and this pulse is supplied to the Gray code counter 36 for resetting the Gray code counter in response to the phase difference based on the detector output pulse. Since Taniguchi discloses a delay locked loop circuit using an input buffer for receiving an external clock, the combination of Goldenberg and Taniguchi meet all the claim limitations cited in claim 4. Thus, the rejection of claim 4 remains over the combined teachings of Goldenberg and Taniguchi.

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2816

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Linh M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0414. The examiner can normally be reached on Alternate Mon, Tuesday - Friday from 7:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on (703) 308-4876. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-0142 for regular communications and (703) 305-0142 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Linh M. Nguyen

LMN.

August 1, 2003

TIMOTHY P. CALLAHAN
UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800